

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

| | | | |
|--------------|---|-------------|---------------|
| Applicant : | Sher, et al. | Art Unit : | 2611 |
| Patent No. : | 7,457,378 | Examiner : | Kevin M. Burd |
| Issue Date : | November 25, 2008 | Conf. No. : | 3931 |
| Serial No. : | 10/792,115 | | |
| Filed : | March 2, 2004 | | |
| Title : | APPARATUS AND METHOD FOR RF PACKET DETECTION AND SYMBOL TIMING RECOVERY | | |

Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

APPLICATION FOR PATENT TERM ADJUSTMENT UNDER 37 CFR 1.705(d)

Applicants hereby petition for reconsideration of the Patent Term Adjustment (PTA) accorded the above-referenced patent application. The Issue Notification mailed November 5, 2008 for the above-referenced application indicates that the Patent Term Adjustment at issuance is 819 days. Reconsideration of the Patent Term Adjustment calculation to increase PTO Delay from 938 days to 1303 days, and to increase Total PTA from 819 to 1184 days, is respectfully requested.

REVIEW OF PATENT TERM ADJUSTMENT CALCULATION

A review of the Patent Term Adjustment History in the PAIR system shows that the United States Patent and Trademark Office (PTO) calculated the Patent Term Adjustment (PTA) as follows:

- 1) Applicants filed a delayed response on October 21, 2004. Applicants were accorded a delay of 57 days. Applicants do not dispute herein this patent term adjustment for Applicant Delay.**
- 2) The PTO mailed a delayed 14-month first non-final Office Action on November 26, 2007, thereby according a PTO Delay of 938 days. Applicants do not dispute herein this patent term adjustment calculation for this PTO "A Delay."**

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING BY EFS-WEB FILING

I hereby certify that this paper was filed with the Patent and Trademark Office using the EFS-WEB system on this date: January 26, 2009

- 3) Applicants filed a delayed response on April 28, 2008. Applicants were accorded a delay of 62 days. Applicants do not dispute herein this patent term adjustment for Applicant Delay.**
- 4) The application was filed on March 2, 2004 and the patent issued on November 25, 2008, more than three years later. No PTO Delay was calculated for issuance of the patent after three years from filing. Applicants respectfully submit that the PTO's calculation of this PTO "B Delay" contains an error and that the correct PTO Delay for issuance beyond three years from filing is 365 days, as outlined further below.**

REMARKS

Applicants have calculated the PTA in accordance with the clear intent of Congress. As outlined in *Wyeth v. Dudas* (*Wyeth et al. v. Jon W. Dudas*, U.S. District Court, D.C., CA No. 07-1492, Mem. Op. September 30, 2008), the only way that periods of time can "overlap" is if they occur on the same day, and if an "A delay" occurs on one calendar day and a "B delay" occurs on another, they do not overlap, and 35 U.S.C. § 154(b)(2)(A) does not limit the extension to one day. "A Delays" are defined as delays by the Office under 35 U.S.C. 154(b)(1)(A), which guarantees prompt PTO response. "B Delays" are defined as delays by the Office under 35 U.S.C. § 154(b)(1)(B), which guarantees no more than 3-year application pendency.

The PTA for the instant patent, as currently calculated and shown on the face of the patent, relies on the premise that the application was delayed under § 154(b)(1)(B) before the initial three-year period expired.

The *Wyeth* court determined that this construction cannot be squared with the language of § 154(b)(1)(B), which applies "if the issue of an original patent is delayed due to the failure of the United States Patent and Trademark Office to issue a patent within 3 years," and that "B delay" begins once the PTO has failed to issue a patent within three years, not before.

In the current PTA calculation, the Office has only given credit for the larger of "A Delay" or "B Delay," rather than the combined sum of "A Delay" and "B Delay" (which does not include any days after three years from filing where "A" and "B" delays overlap).

In this patent, "A Delay" should be calculated as 938 days, and "B Delay" should be calculated as 634 days. There is an overlap of 269 days. Thus, the total PTO Delay should be calculated as 1303 days.

In consideration of the events described above, Applicants believe the PTA calculation of 819 days is incorrect. As such, Applicants respectfully request reconsideration of the patent term adjustment in the following manner:

- 1) Total PTO Delay should be calculated as 1303 days;
- 2) Total Applicant Delay should be calculated as 119 days; and
- 3) Total PTA should be calculated as 1184 days.

Applicants note that this patent is not subject to a terminal disclaimer.

Please apply the fee of \$200 required under 37 C.F.R. § 1.18(e) and any other required charges or credits to Deposit Account No. 06-1050.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: January 26, 2009 _____

/Rex I. Huang/_____
Rex I. Huang
Reg. No. 57,661

Fish & Richardson P.C.
225 Franklin Street
Boston, MA 02110
Telephone: (617) 542-5070
Facsimile: (877) 769-7945